Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/631,369	GARGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	OLUBUSOLA ONI	2168
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>OLUBUSOLA ONI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edouard Garcia (Reg. No. 38, 461)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>08 May 2007</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-51</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Platt et al. (Pub. No U.S 2003/0009469).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ l	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>		o if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that	greed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTrequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ne last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		·
	•	
		i 1
	/ (90
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called applicant's representative, to inform him the application will be re opened after the appeal conference, and also to notify applicant's representative that claims 23-51 are in a different classification group and are distinct from claims 1-22. However, the Examiner indicated that if claim 23-51 are cancelled since they were in a different classification group from claims 1-22 and are restrictable, it will expedite processing the allowance of claim 1-22, if claims 6 or 7 is incorporated to independent claim 1 and 22. Applicant's representative declined and requested Examiner send out an Office Action.